

GROUP RINGS WHOSE GROUP OF UNITS IS HYPERBOLIC

V. BOVDI

Dedicated to Professor L.G. Kovács on his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. We study those group rings whose group of units is hyperbolic.

Let (X, ρ) be a metric space with metric ρ . For any $a, b, c \in X$, the Gromov product $\langle b, c \rangle_a$ of b and c with respect to $a \in X$ is defined as

$$\langle b, c \rangle_a = \frac{1}{2} \left(\rho(b, a) + \rho(c, a) - \rho(b, c) \right).$$

The metric space is called δ -hyperbolic ($\delta \geq 0$) if

$$\langle a, b \rangle_d \geq \min \{ \langle a, c \rangle_d, \langle b, c \rangle_d \} - \delta \quad (a, b, c, d \in X).$$

Let G be a finitely generated group and let S be a finite set of generators of G . The Cayley graph $\mathfrak{C}(G, S)$ of the group G with respect to the set S is the metric graph whose vertices are in one-to-one correspondence with the elements of G . An edge (labeled by s) joins g to gs for some $g \in G$ and $s \in S$. The group G is called *hyperbolic* (see [6]) if its Cayley graph $\mathfrak{C}(G, S)$ is a δ -hyperbolic metric space for some $\delta \geq 0$. It is well known (see [6]) that this definition does not depend on the choice of the generating set S .

The following question can be posed: When is the group of units $U(KG)$ of the group ring KG of a group G over the commutative ring K with unity hyperbolic. This problem was solved in [7, 8, 9] for several particular cases.

Here we give a more general characterization.

Theorem 1. *Let G be a group, such that the torsion part $t(G) \neq \{1\}$. Let K be a commutative ring of $\text{char}(K) = 0$ with unity. If the group of units $U(KG)$ of the group ring KG is hyperbolic, then one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $G \in \{C_5, C_8, C_{12}\}$ or G is finite abelian of $\exp(G) \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$;
- (ii) G is a Hamiltonian 2-group;
- (iii) $G \in \{H_{3,2}, H_{3,4}, H_{4,2}, H_{4,4}\}$, where $H_{s,n} = \langle a, b \mid a^s = b^n = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle$;
- (iv) $G = t(G) \rtimes \langle \xi \rangle$, where $t(G)$ is either a finite Hamiltonian 2-group or a finite abelian group of $\exp(t(G)) \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ and $\langle \xi \rangle \cong C_\infty$. Moreover, if $t(G)$ is abelian, then conjugation by ξ either inverts all elements from $t(G)$ or leave them fixed.

Theorem 2. *Let KG be the group algebra of a group G over a field K of positive characteristic, such that the torsion part $t(G) \neq \{1\}$. The group of units $U(KG)$ is hyperbolic if and only if when K is a finite field and G is a finite group.*

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20C07, 16S34; Secondary: 20F67.

Key words and phrases. hyperbolic group, group ring, unit group.

The research was supported by OTKA No.K68383.

Notation. If $H \leq G$ is a subgroup of a group G , then we denote by $\mathfrak{R}_l(G/H)$ the left transversal of G by H . Denote by $\Delta(G) = \{g \in G \mid [G : C_G(g)] < \infty\}$ the FC -center of G , where $C_G(g)$ is the centralizer of g in G . The set $t(G)$ of the torsion elements of G is called the torsion part of G . If $M \subset G$, then we denote the normalizer of M in G by $\mathfrak{N}_G(M) = \{x \in G \mid xM = Mx\}$. By $\mathfrak{Ann}_l(x)$ we denote the left annihilator of x in KG . If $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \in KG$, then $\text{supp}(x)$ denotes the set $\{g \in G \mid \alpha_g \neq 0\}$. If $|w|$ is the order of $w \in t(G)$, then we put $\hat{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w|} w^i \in KG$. We denote by C_∞^2 the direct product of two cyclic groups of infinite orders.

We shall use freely the following properties of hyperbolic groups:

Lemma 1. ([3]) *If G is a hyperbolic group, then:*

- (i) C_∞^2 does not embed as a subgroup of G ;
- (ii) if $g \in G$ has infinite order, then $[C_G(g) : \langle g \rangle]$ is finite;
- (iii) torsion subgroups of G are finite of bounded order.

First of all we shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2. *If $w \in t(G)$, then $\mathfrak{Ann}_l(\hat{w}) = KG(w-1)$, where $\hat{w} = \sum_{i=1}^{|w|} w^i \in KG$.*

Proof. Let $z \in \mathfrak{Ann}_l(\hat{w})$ and $z = \sum_i z_i w_i$, where $w_i = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} w^j \in K\langle w \rangle$ and $z_i \in \mathfrak{R}_l(G/\langle w \rangle)$. If χ is the augmentation map, then

$$0 = z\hat{w} = \sum_i z_i w_i \hat{w} = \sum_i z_i \chi(w_i) \hat{w},$$

so $\chi(w_i) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} = 0$ for all i , and

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sum_i z_i \sum_j \alpha_{ij} w^j = \sum_i z_i \left(\sum_j \alpha_{ij} (w^j - 1) + \sum_j \alpha_{ij} \right) = \sum_{i,j} z_i \alpha_{ij} (w^j - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Using the equality $xy - 1 = (x-1)(y-1) + (x-1) + (y-1)$, where $x, y \in G$, we obtain that $\mathfrak{Ann}_l(\hat{w}) \subseteq KG(w-1)$. The opposite inclusion is trivial. $\square$

Lemma 3. *Let G be a group which has at least one non-normal finite subgroup $\langle w \rangle$. Put $t_w = (w-1)(\alpha g + \beta h) \in KG$, where $\alpha, \beta \in K \setminus \{0\}$ and $g, h \in G \setminus \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$. The product $t_w \hat{w} = 0$ if and only if one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $g \in h\langle w \rangle$ and $\alpha + \beta = 0$;
- (ii) $wg \in h\langle w \rangle$, $wh \in g\langle w \rangle$ and $\alpha = \beta$.

Proof. Let $t_w \hat{w} = 0$. Since $t_w \in \mathfrak{Ann}_l(\hat{w})$ and $|\text{supp}(t_w)| = 4$, by Lemma 2 we have

$$(1) \quad t_w = (g_1 w_1 + g_2 w_2)(w-1),$$

where $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_l(G/\langle w \rangle)$, $w_1, w_2 \in K\langle w \rangle$ and $|\text{supp}(w_i(w-1))| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$. Assume that $g \in g_1\langle w \rangle$. If $|\text{supp}(w_1(w-1))| = 4$, then $wg \in g_1\langle w \rangle$ and $g \in \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$, which is impossible. Hence $|\text{supp}(g_i(w_i-1)(w-1))| = 2$. From (1) we have

$$\alpha(wg) + \beta(wh) - \alpha g - \beta h = g_1 w_1 w + g_2 w_2 w - g_1 w_1 - g_2 w_2.$$

Clearly $g, h, wg, wh \in \{g_1\langle w \rangle, g_2\langle w \rangle\}$, so we need to consider only the following possible cases:

Case 1. Let $g, h \in g_1\langle w \rangle$ and $wg, wh \in g_2\langle w \rangle$. Then $g = hw^k$, for some k and $t_w\hat{w} = (\alpha + \beta)(w - 1)h\hat{w} = 0$. Therefore $\alpha + \beta = 0$ and (i) holds.

Case 2. Let $g, wh \in g_1\langle w \rangle$ and $wg, h \in g_2\langle w \rangle$. Then $wh = gw^l$ and $wg = hw^m$, for some l, m . This yields that

$$t_w\hat{w} = (\alpha - \beta)(h - g)\hat{w} = 0.$$

If $\alpha - \beta \neq 0$, then $h\hat{w} = g\hat{w}$, so from $wh \in g\hat{w}$ we get that $g \in \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$, a contradiction. Consequently $\alpha = \beta$ and (ii) holds.

The converse statement is obvious. $\square$

Lemma 4. *Let G be a group which has at least one non-normal finite subgroup $\langle w \rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{r}_w(g) = 1 + (w - 1)g\hat{w}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_w(h) = 1 + (w - 1)h\hat{w}$, where $g, h \in G \setminus \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$. If $\text{char}(K) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{r}_w(g) \notin \{\mathfrak{r}_w(h), \mathfrak{r}_w(h)^{-1}\}$, then one of the following condition holds:*

- (i) $wg \notin h\langle w \rangle$ and $g \notin h\langle w \rangle$; (ii) $wh \notin g\langle w \rangle$ and $g \notin h\langle w \rangle$.

Moreover, if either condition (i) or (ii) holds, then $\langle \mathfrak{r}_w(g), \mathfrak{r}_w(h) \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{r}_w(g) \notin \{\mathfrak{r}_w(h), \mathfrak{r}_w(h)^{-1}\}$. Then $(w - 1)(g \pm h)\hat{w} \neq 0$ and by Lemma 3 we obtain either (i) or (ii). If $\mathfrak{r}_w(g)^\alpha = \mathfrak{r}_w(h)^\beta$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then

$$(\alpha(wg) - \beta(wh) - \alpha g + \beta h)\hat{w} = 0,$$

which leads us to a contradiction. Consequently, $\mathfrak{r}_w(g), \mathfrak{r}_w(h)$ are different commuting units of infinite order, such that $\mathfrak{r}_w(g)^\alpha \neq \mathfrak{r}_w(h)^\beta$ for all different $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, so $\langle \mathfrak{r}_w(g), \mathfrak{r}_w(h) \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$. $\square$

Lemma 5. *(see [1], 2.2) If G is a finite abelian group, then $U(\mathbb{Z}G) = \pm G \times S_G$ and the rank $\mathfrak{r}(S_G)$ of the free abelian subgroup S_G is $\frac{1}{2}(|G| + 1 + t_2 - 2l)$, where t_2 and l are the cardinalities of the involutions and the cyclic subgroups of G , respectively.*

Lemma 6. *Let G be a group which has at least one non-normal finite subgroup, and assume that the order of each finite cyclic subgroup lies in $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12\}$. Assume also that for each non-normal finite cyclic subgroup $\langle w \rangle$ and for all g, h outside the normalizer N of $\langle w \rangle$, either $g\langle w \rangle = h\langle w \rangle$ or both of the following conditions hold:*

$$(2) \quad wg \in h\langle w \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad wh \in g\langle w \rangle.$$

Then $G \in \{H_{3,2}, H_{3,4}, H_{3,8}, H_{4,2}, H_{4,4}, Q_{16}\}$, where

$$Q_{16} = \langle a, b \mid a^8 = 1, b^2 = a^4, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle.$$

Proof. Let $G, \langle w \rangle, N, h$ be as in the hypotheses: then each g outside N lies either in $h\langle w \rangle$ or in $w^{-1}h\langle w \rangle$. This shows that the set-complement $G \setminus N$, which is the union of the non-trivial cosets of N , is covered by two cosets of $\langle w \rangle$. It follows that N has at most two non-trivial cosets: $|G : N| \leq 3$. When this index is 3, the two non-trivial cosets of N are covered by two cosets of $\langle w \rangle$, so we must have $\langle w \rangle = N$. Otherwise N is normal, so $\langle w \rangle < N$; the unique non-trivial coset of N being covered by two cosets of $\langle w \rangle$ then gives that in fact $|N : \langle w \rangle| = 2$, $|G : \langle w \rangle| = 4$. Since $\langle w \rangle$ is finite, so is G ; indeed, by our hypothesis on the possible orders of w , we have $|G| \leq 48$. All groups so small have been well understood for a long time, and it should not be hard to find a clever way of exploiting that understanding here. The reader will be able to choose between several ways of completing this proof. The method preferred by the author is to take advantage of the computer algebra

system GAP [5], which contains databases of small groups and can quickly search through them, confirming the claim of the lemma. (Of course it also shows that all six groups in the conclusion satisfy the hypotheses.) $\square$

Proof of the Theorem 1. Let G be a group which has at least one non-normal finite subgroup $\langle w \rangle$ and let $\bar{g}, h \in G \setminus \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$. By Lemma 5, $|w| \in \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12\}$.

We divide the problem into the following two cases:

Case 1. either $wg \notin h\langle w \rangle$ or $wh \notin g\langle w \rangle$; Case 2. $wg \in h\langle w \rangle$ and $wh \in g\langle w \rangle$.

We consider each case separately.

Case 1. Let $wg \notin h\langle w \rangle$ or $wh \notin g\langle w \rangle$. If $g \notin h\langle w \rangle$, then put $\mathfrak{r}_w(g) = 1 + (w-1)g\hat{w}$ and $\mathfrak{r}_w(h) = 1 + (w-1)h\hat{w}$. By Lemma 4, $U(KG) \supset \langle \mathfrak{r}_w(g), \mathfrak{r}_w(h) \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction to Lemma 1(i). Therefore $\langle w \rangle$ is normal in G , a contradiction.

If for a given h , each element g in the set complement $G \setminus N$, where $N = \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$, satisfy $g\langle w \rangle = h\langle w \rangle$, then $G = N \cup aN$ for some $a \in G$ and $N = \langle w \rangle$. Consequently $|G : \langle w \rangle| = 2$ and $\langle w \rangle$ is normal in G , again a contradiction.

Case 2. Let $wg \in h\langle w \rangle$ and $wh \in g\langle w \rangle$. According to the previous case, we can assume that this condition holds for all non-normal finite subgroup $\langle w \rangle$ and for all $g, h \in G \setminus \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$. Then $g \notin h\langle w \rangle$. Indeed, if $g = hw^i$, then from $wg \in h\langle w \rangle$ we have $g \in \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$, a contradiction.

Now, by Lemma 6, G is either a Hamiltonian group or it is finite and

$$G \in \{H_{3,2}, H_{3,4}, H_{3,8}, H_{4,2}, H_{4,4}, Q_{16}\}.$$

Let $G = \langle a, b \mid a^8 = 1, b^2 = a^4, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \cong Q_{16}$. The elements $w_1 = 1 + (a^{-1} - a)\hat{b}$ and $w_2 = 6(a + a^3)(1 - a^4) + 9a^2 - 8a^6$ are commuting units in $V(\mathbb{Z}G)$ of infinite order, because $w_1^{-1} = 1 - (a^{-1} - a)\hat{b}$ and $w_2^{-1} = 6(a + a^3)(1 - a^4) + 9a^6 - 8a^2$. Since $w_1^n = 1 + n(b-1)a\hat{b}$, so $ab \in \text{supp}(w_1^n)$, but $ab \notin \text{supp}(w_2^m)$ for all nonzero $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This yields that $w_1^n \neq w_2^m$, so $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction.

Now, if $G = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^8 = 1, a^b = a^{-1} \rangle \cong H_{3,8}$, then using the same argument for the units $w_1 = 1 + (a^{-1} - a)\hat{b}$ and $w_2 = 6(b + b^3)(1 - b^4) + 9b^2 - 8b^6$ we obtain that $\langle w_1, w_2 \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Therefore $G \in \{H_{3,2}, H_{3,4}, H_{4,2}, H_{4,4}\}$.

From the previous two cases follows that if G does not satisfy condition (ii) of our theorem, then each cyclic subgroup of $t(G)$ is normal in G , so $t(G)$ is either abelian or a Hamiltonian group. Moreover, by Lemma 1(iii), $t(G)$ is finite. If $t(G)$ is abelian, then by Lemma 5 we must have $\mathfrak{r}(S_{t(G)}) \leq 1$, so either $t(G) \in \{C_5, C_8, C_{12}\}$ or $t(G)$ is finite abelian of $\exp(t(G)) \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ (see [1], **3.1**), because $\mathbb{Z}G \subseteq KG$.

Let $t(G)$ be a Hamiltonian group such that $\langle a, b \rangle \times \langle c \rangle \subseteq t(G)$, where $\langle a, b \rangle \cong Q_8$ and $|c| = p$ is odd. If $H = \langle a^2c \rangle$ then, by Lemma 5, $\mathfrak{r}(S_H) = \frac{2p-4}{2} = 1$ so the only possible case is $p = 3$. Using the argument of 4^0 of [8], we get a contradiction. Therefore if $t(G)$ is nonabelian then it is a Hamiltonian 2-group.

By the definition of hyperbolic groups, the group $U(KG)$ is finitely generated. Clearly $t(G) = t(\Delta(G))$ and by a theorem of B.H. Neumann (see [1], §4 or [4]), the FC -group $\Delta(G)/t(G)$ is torsion free abelian. Assume that

$$\Delta(G)/t(G) \supseteq \langle z_1 \rangle \times \langle z_2 \rangle \cong C_\infty^2$$

and let h_1, h_2 be the pre-images of z_1 and z_2 , respectively. By [4], we can assign to the finitely generated FC -group $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ a natural number m , such that h_1^m and h_2^m are central in $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ and $(h_1h_2)^m = h_1^mh_2^m$, so $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Consequently $\Delta(G)/t(G)$ is cyclic and $\Delta(G) = t(G) \rtimes \langle \xi \rangle$, where $\langle \xi \rangle \cong C_\infty$.

Let $g \in G \setminus \Delta(G)$, such that $\langle g \rangle \cap \langle \xi \rangle = \langle 1 \rangle$. Of course g is of infinite order, $\xi^g \in \Delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)/t(G)$ is cyclic, so we have $\xi^g = w\xi^{\pm 1}$, where $w \in t(G)$ and $w^g \in \langle w \rangle$. Since $t(G)$ is finite, there exists an $s > 1$, such that $(\xi, g^s) = 1$ and $\langle \xi, g^s \rangle \cong C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Therefore $\xi^l = g^k \in \Delta(G)$ for some $l, k > 1$, and $[G : C_G(\langle g^k \rangle)] < \infty$. Since $\langle g^k \rangle$ is infinite, by Lemma 1(ii), $[C_G(\langle g^k \rangle) : \langle g^k \rangle] < \infty$, so $[G : \langle g \rangle] < \infty$ and $g \in \Delta(G)$, a contradiction. Consequently $G = \Delta(G)$.

Now let $G = t(G) \rtimes \langle \xi \rangle$, where $\langle \xi \rangle \cong C_\infty$ and each cyclic subgroup of the finite group $t(G)$ is normal in G . Clearly $\langle \xi^k \rangle$ is a central subgroup of G for some $k > 1$. If $t(G) \supseteq \{C_5, C_8, C_{12}\}$, then (see 2.2, 2.3, [1]) the subring $\mathbb{Z}t(G) \subseteq KG$ contains a unit u of infinite order and $\langle \xi^k, u \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction.

Consequently the part (iv) of our theorem holds. $\square$

Lemma 7. ([2], Proposition 2.7, p.9) *Let H be a subgroup of a group G . The left annihilator L in KG of the right ideal $\mathfrak{I}_r(H) = \langle h - 1 \mid h \in H, h \neq 1 \rangle$ is different from zero if and only if H is finite. If H is finite, then $L = KG(\Sigma_{h \in H} h)$.*

Proof of the Theorem 2. Let K be a field of $\text{char}(K) = p$. First assume that K contains a transcendental element ξ . If $g \in G$ is of prime order q with $(q, p) = 1$, then $e = \frac{\hat{a}}{|g|}$ and $f = 1 - e$ are idempotents of KG , and $\langle \xi e + f, e + \xi f \rangle \cong C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Furthermore, if K is an infinite field such that each element of K is algebraic, then for the prime q and for the set $\{n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ there exist infinity many different $\beta(n) \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property:

$$p^n - 1 = q^{\alpha(n)} \beta(n) \quad \text{and} \quad (q, \beta(n)) = 1.$$

Since $\mathfrak{T} = \{\alpha \in K \mid \alpha^{\beta(n)} = 1, n \geq 1\}$ is infinite, we obtain $\langle e + \alpha f \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{T} \rangle$ is an infinite torsion group, a contradiction to Lemma 1(iii). Thus K is finite.

Let $w \in G$ such that $|w| = p$ and let K be still infinite. Clearly $(\hat{w})^p = p\hat{w} = 0$ and $1 + \alpha\hat{w}$, where $\alpha \in K$, is a unit of order p . The abelian group $\langle 1 + \alpha\hat{w} \mid \alpha \in K \rangle$ is infinite, a contradiction to Lemma 1(iii).

Consequently, we have proven that K is always a finite field.

Let G be a group which has at least one non-normal finite subgroup $\langle w \rangle$ and assume that $G \neq t(G)$. Put

$$A = \mathfrak{R}_l(G/\mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)) = \{g_i \mid i \geq 1\}.$$

First suppose that A is an infinite set. Clearly, if $i \neq j$, then $g_i \notin g_j \langle w \rangle$. Furthermore for each $i \geq 1$ exists at most one j such that $wg_i \in g_j \langle w \rangle$. Using A , we can construct inductively a family of the sets $\{A_i \mid i \geq 1\}$ in the following way:

Put $A_1 = \{a_1\}$, where $a_1 = g_1$. Consider the infinite set $A \setminus A_1$. It contains at most one element g_j such that $wa_1 \in g_j \langle w \rangle$. We delete g_j (if such element exists) from the infinite set $A \setminus A_1$. Thus we obtain an infinite set, whose elements can be relabeled by $\{a_2, a_3, \dots\}$. Now put $A_2 = A_1 \cup \{a_2\}$. Clearly $wa_1 \notin a_2 \langle w \rangle$.

If we have constructed the sets $A_1, \dots, A_s$, then put $A_{s+1} = A_s \cup \{a_{s+1}\}$, where $wa_i \notin a_j \langle w \rangle$ with $1 \leq i, j \leq s+1$. By the continuation of this process we obtain an infinite set $\overline{A} = \{a_i \mid i \geq 1\} = \cup_{n \geq 1} A_n \subseteq A$, such that $wa_i \notin a_j \langle w \rangle$ and $a_i \notin a_j \langle w \rangle$ for all $i \neq j$. By Lemma 3,

$$H_w = \langle \mathfrak{x}_w(g_i) = 1 + (w - 1)g_i\hat{w} \mid g_i \in \overline{A}, i \geq 1 \rangle$$

is an infinite abelian subgroup of exponent p and, by Lemma 1(iii), it is finite, a contradiction. Consequently A is a finite set and $\mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$ is an infinite set.

Let $G \neq \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$ and let $c \in G \setminus \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$. Consider the abelian group

$$M_c = \langle \mathfrak{r}_w(ch_i) = 1 + (w-1)ch_i\hat{w} \mid h_i \in \mathfrak{N}_l(\mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)/\langle w \rangle), \quad i \geq 1 \rangle.$$

If $\mathfrak{r}_w(ch_i) = \mathfrak{r}_w(ch_j)$, then $(w-1)c\hat{w}(h_i h_j^{-1} - 1) = 0$. Since $(w-1)c\hat{w} \neq 0$ and $\mathfrak{N}_l(\mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)/\langle w \rangle)$ is an infinite set, we get a contradiction to Lemma 7. Therefore M_c is an infinite group of exponent p , a contradiction to Lemma 1(iii).

Therefore $G = \mathfrak{N}_G(\langle w \rangle)$ for all $w \in t(G)$. This yields that each cyclic subgroup of $t(G)$ is normal in G , so by Lemma 1(iii) the group $t(G)$ is either finite abelian or a finite Hamiltonian group. Clearly $t(G) = t(\Delta(G))$ and by a theorem of B.H. Neumann (see [1], §4 or [4]), the FC -group $\Delta(G)/t(G)$ is torsion free abelian. Assume

$$\Delta(G)/t(G) \supseteq \langle z_1 \rangle \times \langle z_2 \rangle \cong C_\infty^2$$

and let h_1, h_2 be the pre-images of z_1 and z_2 , respectively. By [4], we can assign to the finitely generated FC -group $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ a natural number m , such that h_1^m and h_2^m are central in $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle$ and $(h_1 h_2)^m = h_1^m h_2^m$, so $\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle \supseteq C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Consequently $\Delta(G)/t(G)$ is cyclic and

$$G = t(G) \rtimes \langle t \rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \langle t \rangle \cong C_\infty.$$

Let $w \in \text{Syl}_p(t(G))$. It is easy to check that $1 + t^i \hat{w}$ is a torsion unit of order p and $\langle 1 + t^i \hat{w} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z} \rangle$ is an infinite torsion group, a contradiction to Lemma 1(iii). Thus $\text{Syl}_p(t(G)) = \langle 1 \rangle$ and $t(G)$ is a p' -group. If $g \in t(G)$ is of prime order such that $(p, |g|) = 1$, then $e = \frac{\hat{g}}{|g|}$ and $f = 1 - e$ are idempotents of KG and $\langle et + f, e + ft \rangle \cong C_\infty^2$, a contradiction. Consequently $t(G) = \langle 1 \rangle$, which is impossible. Therefore $G = t(G)$ and, by Lemma 1(iii), the group G is finite.

The converse case is trivial, since $U(KG)$ is finite, so it is hyperbolic. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] V. A. Artamonov and A. A. Bovdi. Integral group rings: groups of invertible elements and classical K -theory. In *Algebra. Topology. Geometry, Vol. 27 (Russian)*, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, pages 3–43, 232. Akad. Nauk SSSR Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989. Translated in J. Soviet Math. **57** (1991), no. 2, 2931–2958.
- [2] A. A. Bovdi. Group rings. (Russian). *Kiev.UMK VO*, page 155, 1988.
- [3] M. R. Bridson and A. Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999.
- [4] J. Erdős. The theory of groups with finite classes of conjugate elements. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 5:45–58, 1954.
- [5] The GAP Group. *GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10*, 2007. (<http://www.gap-system.org>).
- [6] M. Gromov. Hyperbolic groups. In *Essays in group theory*, volume 8 of *Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ.*, pages 75–263. Springer, New York, 1987.
- [7] E. Iwaki and S. O. Juriaans. Hypercentral unit groups and the hyperbolicity of a modular group algebra. *Comm. Algebra*, 36(4):1336–1345, 2008.
- [8] S. O. Juriaans, I. B. S. Passi, and D. Prasad. Hyperbolic unit groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 133(2):415–423 (electronic), 2005.
- [9] S. O. Juriaans, I. B. S. Passi, and A. C. Souza Filho. Hyperbolic unit groups and quaternion algebras. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, 119(1):9–22, 2009.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, U. OF DEBRECEN, H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.B. 12, HUNGARY
E-mail address: vbovdi@math.unideb.hu